

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,045	02/25/2004	John Hatlestad	279.B27US1	4409
21186 SCHWEGMA	7590 07/20/200 N, LUNDBERG & WC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938			RANGREJ, SHEETAL	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3686	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/787,045	HATLESTAD ET AL.	
Review		Art Unit	
Review	SHEETAL R. RANGREJ	3686	

	***				
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed July 1, 2009.					
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s):</li> </ol>	and a conference will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed con ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other:	review is appropriate.				
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.					
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance v brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this or running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	terferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period chever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the d upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date				
∑ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .     Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .     Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11, 13, 14, and 16-28</u> .     Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u>					
<ol> <li>Allowable application – A conference has be Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.</li> </ol>	en held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of remains closed. No further action is required by				
<ol> <li>Reopen Prosecution – A conference has bee action will be mailed. No further action is required by</li> </ol>	n held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office y applicant at this time.				
All participants:					
(1) Sheetal Rangrej.	(3)Vincent Millin.				
(2) <u>Jerry O'Connor</u> .	(4)				
	/Jerry O'Connor/ SPE, GAU 3686				